PLEASE ADD ANY COMMENTS OR FEEDBACK THAT WILL HELP YOUR INSTRUCTOR TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE. would say profoundly shaped my first year experience This course I recommend this course to absolutely letery person 1 reast dless would Foculty, or dealer of interest in psychology. Wear, better, more well-rounded student after taking not only y Hat course, but sin enriched human being. (Duld T go on for this life- altering this course longer about how incredibly bealnning to hurt.

I really wanted to thank you for your class. It was truly a transformative experience and a highlight of my UBC experience.

I do great only when I am interested, inspired and feeling competent, and you and the class you taught last term has made all that happen for me.

I cannot tell you enough how valuable the information is, and how much more I wish to understand and appreciate at a deeper level and broader view. I appreciate your energy and time in supporting my learning.

I loved this class and would take it again. Adele's personal involvement with the students is notable....She is a wonderful professor and a wealth of knowledge! She gets an A+

Loved the true seminar composition, and the instructor gave inordinate amounts of time to ensuring that all students felt comfortable participating in the discussions and discourse, even when the material was either personally or collectively challenging.... I really liked the true interdisciplinary nature of the material.

I enjoyed the class (and am amazed at how several concepts from the course have started cropping up in my life).

Adele is energetic, passionate, interesting and so impressively well-read. She explains difficult concepts well, and asks detailed and provoking questions. She sets a high standard and expects us to meet it which is great for learning. I am grateful to have had the opportunity to be in her class!

Thank you Adele for facilitating our class and managing the discussions which may have gotten tense at some points. Adele has been effective at managing the dynamics of the class and being very respectful of everyone's views. Good questions that probe deeper thinking too. I have enjoyed the class discussions tremendously and learnt a lot from the content.

Thanks for all you have given me over the past few months. I will carry what I learned from you and in your class through the rest of my life and hopefully my students and those around me will also benefit from what I learned from you.

PLEASE ADD ANY COMMENTS OR FEEDBACK THAT WILL HELP YOUR INSTRUCTOR TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE. This was absolutely the best, most worth-while course that I have taken got a lot from at University. While the workload was intense, I In both respect to my education but also my life. Really helped me to been town somesters, In After taking this I take this. I wish it had ready to take almost any psych pass @ UBC. Maybe simple comments honestly nothing pad to say about this have nothing but Lourse.

PLEASE ADD ANY COMMENTS OR FEEDBACK THAT WILL HELP YOUR INSTRUCTOR TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE. This was one of the best courses I took in my life, mainly because the instructor Addle Diamond, was so good. She really inspired me to work hard and I learned a Lot out of the course.

PLEASE ADD ANY COMMENTS OR FEEDBACK THAT WILL HELP YOUR INSTRUCTOR TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE. I really enjoyed this course. Everything we read could always be applied to real life situations and you always helped us make those connections. The material I learned here, I'll came with the into the futtire. It was challenging and very rewarding - upuld definitely recommend you to other people.

PLEASE ADD ANY COMMENTS OR FEEDBACK THAT WILL HELP YOUR INSTRUCTOR TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE. ON The 15 ano ne, Course Nors ins pressup ton MI 27 ies. Rint $\alpha \sim$ for one. mank you Ad

PLEASE ADD ANY COMMENTS OR FEEDBACK THAT WILL HELP YOUR INSTRUCTOR TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE.	7. : Ai
I totally orjoyed this course. For the fast introduction lesson, it wasn't really	- ~
representative of what / how the whole conserving conducted - the course	
was definitely more interesting, relevant and related to draily aspects of life.	-
	-

PLEASE ADD ANY COMMENTS OR FEEDBACK THAT WILL HELP YOUR INSTRUCTOR TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE. very stimulating, transformative so much for TWARK YOU enaage at all 10100/c with 40 MARC enconcachec WERE did the materia Optrunty an to academia has informed MU OPPY hoth CONSE 70 'nΝ reactions MANAN there one $\leq O$ Magny LIVIC -nat plevan tan+ how) them is PAIR INTON Waning DRN219 (01)/50 ennacime ìſ menionalmi 108 St 1700 uhen MONTINO PMOT INVAL cending anxietu 11)(avalicia inaction tho MOC the 1even Whole each 60 experience a wonderful -11/6001 -miem + MAINKS again

PLEASE ADD ANY COMMENTS OR FEEDBACK THAT WILL HELP YOUR INSTRUCTOR TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE. best course - the ring This course was experience cleare day over .. CONCENTE Plasses sume hell 1-z.l apagent the bound Mi Cham 1 might COLVER. concerns thin C. M. S.S.S.S.S.S.S. enoly not 1-511-40 would reactine MUS long marine Corre 1

Department of Psychology Undergraduate Course Evaluation Results (Long Form Inventory)

Total Responses: 11 Course no.: 205-Section: 006 Instructor: Diamond, Adele Year/Term: 2006-07 T2 J No. of responses by option Proportion of responses **DEPARTMENT NORMS *** count ITEM/KEY WORD SUMMARY 0 1 2 3 5 (1 - 5)0 2 4 1 3 4 5 М SD М SD 1 Participation Encouraged 0 0 0 0 0 11 0.00 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 5.00 0.00 3.77 0.61 2 Evaluation Unfair 2 8 0 1 0 9 0 0.18 0.73 0.00 0.09 0.00 0.00 1.22 0.63 2.09 0.45 3 Patient Assistance 0 0 0 1 0 10 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.09 0.00 0.57 0.91 4.82 3.90 0.42 4 Tests Understanding 0 0 0 1 0 0.00 10 11 0.00 0.00 0.09 0.00 0.91 4.82 0.57 3.64 0.54 5 Poor Response to Criticism 1 10 0 0 0 0 10 0.09 0.91 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 2.04 0.42 6 Well Prepared 0 0 0 0 1 10 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 00 0.09 0.91 4 91 0.29 4 28 0.33 7 Verbally Abusive 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.42 0.30 8 Available to Students 0 0 0 0 0 11 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 5.00 0.00 3.78 0.39 9 Practical Applications 11 0 0 0 0 0 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 5.00 0.00 4.15 0.35 10 Commun. Level Too High 0 6 3 1 0 0 10 0.00 0.60 0.30 0.10 0.00 0.00 1.50 0.67 2.04 0.43 11 High Standards Set 0 0 0 1 2 8 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.09 0.18 0.73 4.64 0.64 3.71 0.34 12 Identified Personal Comments 0 0 3 1 4 3 11 0.00 0.00 0.09 0.36 0.27 0.27 3.73 0.96 3.44 0.40 13 Effective Speech Qualities 0 0 0 0 2 9 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.82 4.82 0.39 3.90 0.48 14 Poor Use of Class Time 0 10 1 0 0 0 11 0.00 0.91 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.09 0.29 1.84 0.41 15 Encourage Independent Thought 0 0 0 0 2 9 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.82 4.82 0.39 3.75 0.50 16 Course Poorly Organized 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.87 0 40 17 Answered Questions Well 0 0 0 0 0 11 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 5.00 0.00 4.33 0.27 18 Good Rapport 0 0 0 0 0 11 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 5.00 0.00 3.96 0.48 19 All Students Respected Equally 0 0 0 0 0 11 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 5.00 0.00 4.41 0.22 20 Demeaning Wrt Fe/Male 0 0 11 0 0 0 11 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.51 0.21 21 Demeaning Wrt Race/Culture 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.42 0.18 22 Motivated Students 0 2 0 0 0 9 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.82 4.82 0.39 3 4 2 n 49 23 Instructor Had Knowledge 0 0 0 0 0 11 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 5.00 0.00 4.39 0.29 24 Students as Individuals 0 0 0 0 2 9 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.00 0.82 4.82 0.39 3.79 0.42 25 Inspired Interest 0 0 0 0 2 9 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.82 4.82 0.39 0.57 3.57 26 Respected Students 0 0 0 0 1 10 0.00 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.09 0.91 4.91 0.29 4.18 0.30 27 Instructor Uninterested 0 0 0 0 11 0 11 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.48 0.27 28 Requirements Clear 0 0 0 0 1 10 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.09 0.91 4.91 0.29 4.00 0.33 29 Course Importance 0 0 0 0 4 7 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.36 0.64 4.64 0.48 3.64 0.47 30 Textbook/Readings Rating 0 0 0 0 1 10 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.09 0.91 4.91 0.29 3.62 0.50 31 Instructor Rating 0 0 0 0 0 11 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 5.00 4.03 0.50 32 Course Rating 0 0 0 0 4 7 11 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.64 4.64 0.48 0.36 3.70 0.50 ∕∿ Department Norms * Scale Scores (1=low,..., 5=high) Std Dev Std Dev Mean Mean Scale 1 - Instructor Competence 4.85 0.16 4.02 0.35 Scale 2 - Respect for Students 7.93 4.96 4 27 0.27 Scale 3 - Academic Standards and Motivation of Students 4.72 0.23 3.75 0.35

Note: Response Formats:

.

Items 1 to 29: 0=don't know, 1=strongly disagree,....,5=strongly agree; items 30 to 32: 1=very poor,...,5=very good.

* Departmental Norms: unweighted means and SDs for more than 500 classes in 1992-97, i.e., means and SDs of the distribution of class means for 32 items and 3